arXiv:0911.0604v4 [gr-qc] 29 Dec 2010

Instanton representation of Plebanski gravity. Gravitational instantons from the classical formalism (Part I)

Eyo Eyo Ita III

January 4, 2011

Department of Applied Mathematics and Theoretical Physics
Centre for Mathematical Sciences, University of Cambridge, Wilberforce Road
Cambridge CB3 0WA, United Kingdom
eei20@cam.ac.uk

Abstract

We present a reformulation of general relativity as a Yang–Mills theory of gravity, using a SO(3,C) gauge connection and the self-dual Weyl tensor as dynamical variables. This formulation uses Plebanski's theory as the starting point, and provides a solution to the Einstein equations for Petrov Types I, D and O. Additionally, this formulation dynamically yields a self-duality operator in which the SO(3,C) curvature becomes Hodge self-dual. The metric enforcing this duality condition solves the Einstein equations by construction. We have delineated the reality conditions on this metric in the complex case. Additionally, we have provided examples of known solutions using a simple formula for the metric derived from this formalism.

1 Introduction

In the 1980's there was a major development in general relativity (GR) due to Abhay Ashtekar, which provided a new set of Yang–Mills like variables known as the Ashtekar variables (See e.g. [1], [2] and [3]). These variables have re-invigorated the efforts at achieving a quantum theory of gravity using techniques from Yang–Mills theory. Additionally, the relation of general relativity to Yang–Mills theory by its own right is an interesting and active area of research [4], [5]. In this paper we will propose a new formulation of GR which shows that its relation to Yang–Mills theory can be taken more literally in a certain well-defined sense. The degrees of freedom of GR will be explicitly embedded in a Yang–Mills like action resembling an instanton, and this formulation will be named the instanton representation of Plebanski gravity. In this paper we will focus just on the classical aspects of the theory.

The organization of this paper is as follows. In section 2 we will first provide a review of Plebanski's theory of gravity I_{Pleb} and the mechanism by which the Einstein equations follow from it. This action contains a self-dual connection one-form A^a, where $a = 1, 2, 3$ denotes an $SO(3, C)$ index with respect to which the (internal) self-duality is defined, a matrix $\psi_{ae} \in SO(3, C) \otimes SO(3, C)$, and a triple of self-dual two-forms Σ^a, also self-dual in the $SO(3, C)$ sense. We first show how the Ashtekar theory I_{Ash} arises upon elimination of ψ_{ae}. In section 3 we show that elimination of the two forms Σ^a in favor of ψ_{ae} yields a new action I_{Inst}, named the instanton representation. The rationale is to show that I_{Ash} and I_{Inst} are in a sense complementary within I_{Pleb}, which suggests that the latter is also a theory of GR. We prove this rigorously in section 4 by demonstrating that I_{Inst} does indeed reproduce the Einstein equations. Additionally, due to the choice of variables the fundamental degrees of freedom become explicit which enables one to write down an explicit solution by construction.

In section 5 we perform an analysis of the equations of motion of I_{Inst} to probe their physical content beyond the Einstein equations. It is shown that on solutions, a dynamical Hodge duality operator emerges in which the curvature of the connection A^a is Hodge self-dual. The metric in which this Hodge self-duality condition is enforced is precisely the same metric constructed in section 4 solving the Einstein equations. In section 6 we rewrite the metric, including reality conditions, and in section 7 we provide some examples of metric solutions using this formalism. There is one caveat regarding this paper and its results, namely that the equivalence of the instanton representation I_{Inst} to the Ashtekar theory I_{Ash} holds only modulo a certain nondegeneracy condition on the variables, valid just for spacetimes of Petrov Types I, D and O [6], [7].

2 Plebanski gravity and the Ashtekar variables

The starting Plebanski action [8] writes GR using self-dual two forms in lieu of the spacetime metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ as the basic variables. We adapt the starting action to the language of the $SO(3,C)$ gauge algebra as

$$I = \frac{i}{G}\int_M \delta_{ae}\Sigma^a \wedge F^e - \frac{1}{2}(\delta_{ae}\varphi + \psi_{ae})\Sigma^a \wedge \Sigma^e, \tag{1}$$

where $\Sigma^a = \frac{1}{2}\Sigma^a_{\mu\nu}dx^\mu \wedge dx^\nu$ are a triplet of $SO(3,C)$ two forms and $F^a = \frac{1}{2}F^a_{\mu\nu}dx^\mu \wedge dx^\nu$ is the field-strength two form for gauge connection $A^a = A^a_\mu dx^\mu$. Also ψ_{ae} is symmetric and traceless and φ is a numerical constant. The field strength is written in component form as $F^a_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A^a_\nu - \partial_\nu A^a_\mu + f^{abc}A^b_\mu A^c_\nu$, with $SO(3,C)$ structure constants $f^{abc} = \epsilon^{abc}$. The equations of motion resulting from (1) are (See e.g. [9] and [10])

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\delta I}{\delta A^g} &= D\Sigma^g = d\Sigma^g + \epsilon^g_{fh}A^f \wedge \Sigma^h = 0;\\ \frac{\delta I}{\delta \psi_{ae}} &= \Sigma^a \wedge \Sigma^e - \frac{1}{3}\delta^{ae}\Sigma^g \wedge \Sigma_g = 0;\\ \frac{\delta I}{\delta \Sigma^a} &= F^a - \Psi^{-1}_{ae}\Sigma^e = 0 \longrightarrow F^a_{\mu\nu} = \Psi^{-1}_{ae}\Sigma^e_{\mu\nu}.\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

The first equation of (2) states that A^g is the self-dual part of the spin connection compatible with the two forms Σ^a, where D is the exterior covariant derivative with respect to A^a. The second equation implies that the two forms Σ^a can be constructed from tetrad one-forms $e^I = e^I_\mu dx^\mu$ in the form

$$\Sigma^a = ie^0 \wedge e^a - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{afg}e^f \wedge e^g. \tag{3}$$

Equation (3) is a self-dual combination, which enforces the equivalence of (1) to general relativity. Note that (3) implies [10]

$$\frac{i}{2}\Sigma^a \wedge \Sigma^e = \delta^{ae}\sqrt{-g}d^4x, \tag{4}$$

with the spacetime volume element as the proportionality factor. The third equation of motion in (2) states that the curvature of A^a is self-dual as a two form, which implies that the metric $g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{IJ}e^I_\mu e^J_\nu$ derived from the tetrad one-forms e^I satisfies the vacuum Einstein equations. The starting action (1) in component form is given by

$$I[\Sigma^a, A^a, \Psi] = \frac{1}{4}\int_M d^4x\Big(\Sigma^a_{\mu\nu}F^a_{\rho\sigma} - \frac{1}{2}\Psi^{-1}_{ae}\Sigma^a_{\mu\nu}\Sigma^e_{\rho\sigma}\Big)\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \tag{5}$$

where $\epsilon^{0123}=1$ and we have defined $\Psi^{-1}_{ae}=\delta_{ae}\varphi+\psi_{ae}$.

For $\varphi=-\frac{\Lambda}{3}$, where Λ is the cosmological constant, then we have that

$$\Psi^{-1}_{ae}=-\frac{\Lambda}{3}\delta_{ae}+\varphi_{ae}. \tag{6}$$

The matrix ψ_{ae}, presented in [5] is the self-dual part of the Weyl curvature tensor in $SO(3,C)$ language. The eigenvalues of ψ_{ae} determine the algebraic classification of spacetime which is independent of coordinates and of tetrad frames ([7], [6]).[1] Ψ^{-1}_{ae} is the matrix inverse of Ψ_{ae} which we will refer to as the CDJ matrix, and is the result of appending to ψ_{ae} a trace part.

We will now perform a 3+1 decomposition of (5). Defining $\widetilde{\sigma}^i_a\equiv\frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{ijk}\Sigma^a_{jk}$ and $B^i_a\equiv\frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{ijk}F^a_{jk}$ for the spatial parts of the self-dual and curvature two forms, this is given by

$$I=\int dt\int_\Sigma d^3x\widetilde{\sigma}^a_i\dot{A}^a_i+A^a_0D_i\widetilde{\sigma}^i_a+\Sigma^a_{0i}\big(B^i_a-\Psi^{-1}_{ae}\widetilde{\sigma}^i_e\big), \tag{7}$$

where we have integrated by parts, using $F^a_{0i}=\dot{A}^a_i-D_iA^a_0$ from the temporal component of the curvature.[2] We will use (2) and (3) to redefine the two form components in (7). Define e^a_i as the spatial part of the tetrads e^I_μ and make the identification

$$e^a_i=\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{ijk}\epsilon^{abc}\widetilde{\sigma}^j_b\widetilde{\sigma}^k_c(\mathrm{det}\widetilde{\sigma})^{-1/2}=\sqrt{\mathrm{det}\widetilde{\sigma}}(\widetilde{\sigma}^{-1})^a_i. \tag{8}$$

For a special case $e^0_i=0$, known as the time gauge, then the temporal components of the two forms (3) are given by (See e.g. [9], [11])

$$\Sigma^a_{0i}=\frac{i}{2}\underline{N}\epsilon_{ijk}\epsilon^{abc}\widetilde{\sigma}^j_b\widetilde{\sigma}^k_c+\epsilon_{ijk}N^j\widetilde{\sigma}^k_a, \tag{9}$$

where $\underline{N}=N(\mathrm{det}\widetilde{\sigma})^{-1/2}$ with N and N^i being a set of four nondynamical fields.

Substituting (9) into (7), we obtain the action

$$I=\int dt\int_\Sigma d^3x\widetilde{\sigma}^i_a\dot{A}^a_i+A^a_0G_a-N^iH_i-NH. \tag{10}$$

The fields (A^a_0,N,N^i) are auxilliary fields whose variations yield respectively the following constraints

$$G_a=D_i\widetilde{\sigma}^i_a;\quad H_i=\epsilon_{ijk}\widetilde{\sigma}^j_aB^k_a+\epsilon_{ijk}\widetilde{\sigma}^j_a\widetilde{\sigma}^k_e\Psi^{-1}_{ae};$$
$$H=(\mathrm{det}\widetilde{\sigma})^{-1/2}\Big(\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{ijk}\epsilon^{abc}\widetilde{\sigma}^i_a\widetilde{\sigma}^j_bB^k_c-\frac{1}{6}(\mathrm{tr}\Psi^{-1})\epsilon_{ijk}\epsilon_{abc}\widetilde{\sigma}^i_a\widetilde{\sigma}^j_b\widetilde{\sigma}^k_c\Big). \tag{11}$$

---

[1] This includes principal null directions and properties of gravitational radiation.

[2] As with the convention of this paper, lowercase symbols from the Latin alphabet $a,b,c,\dots$ will denote internal $SO(3,C)$ indices, and those from the middle $i,j,k,\dots$ will denote spatial indices, each taking values 1 2 and 3.

Say that we impose the following conditions on Ψ^{-1}_{ae}

$$\epsilon^{bae}\Psi^{-1}_{ae}=0;\quad \mathrm{tr}\Psi^{-1}=-\Lambda \tag{12}$$

where Λ is the cosmological constant. Then then Ψ^{-1}_{ae} becomes eliminated and equation (10) reduces to the action for general relativity in the Ashtekar variables ([1],[2],[3])

$$\begin{aligned} I_{Ash}=\frac{1}{G}\int dt\int_{\Sigma} d^3x\widetilde{\sigma}^i_a\dot{A}^a_i+A^a_0 D_i\widetilde{\sigma}^i_a \\ -\epsilon_{ijk}N^i\widetilde{\sigma}^j_a B^k_a+\frac{i}{2}\underline{N}\epsilon_{ijk}\epsilon_{abc}\widetilde{\sigma}^i_a\widetilde{\sigma}^j_b\big(B^k_c+\frac{\Lambda}{3}\widetilde{\sigma}^k_c\big), \end{aligned}\tag{13}$$

where $\underline{N}=N(\mathrm{det}\widetilde{\sigma})^{-1/2}$ is the lapse density function. The action (13) is written on the phase space $\Omega_{Ash}=(\widetilde{\sigma}^i_a,A^a_i)$ and the variable Ψ^{-1}_{ae} has been eliminated. The auxilliary fields A^a_0, N and N^i respectively are the $SO(3,C)$ rotation angle, the lapse function and the shift vector. The auxilliary fields are Lagrange multipliers smearing their corresponding initial value constraints G_a, H and H_i, respectively the Gauss' law, Hamiltonian and diffeomorphism constraints. Note that $\widetilde{\sigma}^i_a$ in the original Plebanski action was part of an auxilliary field $\Sigma^a_{\mu\nu}$, but now in (13) it has been promoted to the status of a momentum space dynamical variable.

3 The instanton representation

We will now show that there exists a theory of gravity based on the field Ψ_{ae}, which is complementary to the Ashtekar formulation of gravity, which can also be derived directly from (5). Instead of equation (12), let us impose the following conditions in (10)

$$\epsilon_{ijk}\epsilon_{abc}\widetilde{\sigma}^i_a\widetilde{\sigma}^j_b B^k_c=-\frac{\Lambda}{3}\epsilon_{ijk}\epsilon_{abc}\widetilde{\sigma}^i_a\widetilde{\sigma}^j_b\widetilde{\sigma}^k_c;\quad \epsilon_{ijk}\widetilde{\sigma}^j_a B^k_a=0. \tag{14}$$

Substitution of (14) into (11) yields

$$\begin{aligned} H_i=\epsilon_{ijk}\widetilde{\sigma}^j_a\widetilde{\sigma}^k_e\Psi^{-1}_{ae}; \\ H=(\mathrm{det}\widetilde{\sigma})^{-1/2}\Big(-\frac{\Lambda}{6}\epsilon_{ijk}\epsilon_{abc}\widetilde{\sigma}^i_a\widetilde{\sigma}^j_b\widetilde{\sigma}^k_c \\ -\frac{1}{6}(\mathrm{tr}\Psi^{-1})\epsilon_{ijk}\epsilon_{abc}\widetilde{\sigma}^i_a\widetilde{\sigma}^j_b\widetilde{\sigma}^k_c\Big)=-\sqrt{\mathrm{det}\widetilde{\sigma}}\big(\Lambda+\mathrm{tr}\Psi^{-1}\big). \end{aligned}\tag{15}$$

Hence substituting (15) into (10), we obtain an action given by

$$\begin{aligned} I=\int dt\int_{\Sigma} d^3x\widetilde{\sigma}^i_a\dot{A}^a_i+A^a_0 D_i\widetilde{\sigma}^i_a \\ +\epsilon_{ijk}N^i\widetilde{\sigma}^j_a\widetilde{\sigma}^k_e\Psi^{-1}_{ae}-iN\sqrt{\mathrm{det}\widetilde{\sigma}}\big(\Lambda+\mathrm{tr}\Psi^{-1}\big). \end{aligned}\tag{16}$$

But (16) still contains $\widetilde{\sigma}^i_a$, therefore we will completely eliminate $\widetilde{\sigma}^i_a$ by substituting the spatial restriction of the third equation of motion of (2)

$$\widetilde{\sigma}^i_a = \Psi_{ae}B^i_e, \tag{17}$$

into (16). This substitution, known as the CDJ Ansatz, yields the action[3]

$$I_{Inst} = \int dt \int_\Sigma d^3x \Psi_{ae}B^i_a \dot{A}^a_i + A^a_0 B^i_e D_i \Psi_{ae}$$
$$+\epsilon_{ijk}N^i B^j_a B^k_e \Psi_{ae} - iN(\text{det}B)^{1/2}\sqrt{\text{det}\Psi}\big(\Lambda + \text{tr}\Psi^{-1}\big), \tag{18}$$

which depends on the CDJ matrix Ψ_{ae} and the Ashtekar connection A^a_i, with no appearance of $\widetilde{\sigma}^i_a$. In the original Plebanski theory Ψ_{ae} was an auxilliary field which could be eliminated. But now Ψ_{ae} has been promoted to the status of a full dynamical variable, analogously to $\widetilde{\sigma}^i_a$ in I_{Ash}.

There are a few items of note regarding (18). Note that it contains the same auxilliary fields (A^a_0, N, N^i) as in the Ashtekar theory. Since we have imposed the constraints $H_\mu = (H, H_i)$ on the Ashtekar phase space within the starting Plebanski theory in order to obtain I_{Inst}, then this implies that the initial value constraints (G_a, H, H_i) must play the same role in (18) as their counterparts in (13). This relation holds only where Ψ_{ae} is nondegenerate, which limits one to spacetimes of Petrov Type I, D and O where Ψ_{ae} has three linearly independent eigenvectors.

4 Equations of motion from the instanton representation

We will now show that Einstein equations follow from the instanton representation action (18) in the same sense that they follow from the original Plebanski action (1). More precisely, we will demonstrate consistency with equations (2) and (3). After integrating by parts and discarding boundary terms, the starting action is given by

$$I_{Inst} = \int dt \int_\Sigma d^3x \Psi_{ae}B^k_e \big(F^a_{0i} + \epsilon_{kjm}B^j_a N^m\big)$$
$$-iN(\text{det}B)^{1/2}\sqrt{\text{det}\Psi}\big(\Lambda + \text{tr}\Psi^{-1}\big). \tag{19}$$

The equation of motion for the shift vector is given by

$$\frac{\delta I_{Inst}}{\delta N^i} = \epsilon_{mjk}B^j_a B^k_e \Psi_{ae} = 0, \tag{20}$$

---

[3] The CDJ Ansatz is valid when B^i_a and Ψ_{ae} are nondegenerate as three by three matrices. Hence all results of this note will be confined to configurations where this is the case.

which implies on the solution to the equations of motion that $\Psi_{ae}=\Psi_{(ae)}$ is symmetric. The equation of motion for the lapse function N is given by

$$\frac{\delta I_{Inst}}{\delta N}=(\text{det}B)^{1/2}\sqrt{\text{det}\Psi}\big(\Lambda+\text{tr}\Psi^{-1}\big)=0. \tag{21}$$

Nondegeneracy of the CDJ matrix and the magnetic field implies that on-shell, the following relation must be satisfied

$$\Lambda+\text{tr}\Psi^{-1}=0, \tag{22}$$

which implies that λ_3 can be written explicitly in terms of λ_1 and λ_2, regarded as physical degrees of freedom. The equation of motion for Ψ_{ae} is

$$\frac{\delta I_{Inst}}{\delta\Psi_{ae}}=B^k_eF^a_{0k}+\epsilon_{kjm}B^k_eB^j_aN^m+iN\sqrt{\text{det}B}\sqrt{\text{det}\Psi}(\Psi^{-1}\Psi^{-1})^{ea}=0, \tag{23}$$

where we have used (21). The symmetric and the antisymmetric parts of (23) must separately vanish. The antisymmetric part is given by

$$B^k_{[e}F^{a]}_{0k}+\epsilon_{mkj}N^mB^k_eB^j_a=0, \tag{24}$$

which can be used to solve for the shift vector N^i. Using the relation $\epsilon_{ijk}B^j_aB^k_e=\epsilon_{aed}(B^{-1})^d_i(\text{det}B)$ for nondegenerate 3 by 3 matrices, we have

$$N^i=\frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{ijk}F^g_{0j}(B^{-1})^g_k. \tag{25}$$

The symmetric part of (23) is given by

$$B^k_{(e}F^{a)}_{0k}+iN\sqrt{\text{det}B}\sqrt{\text{det}\Psi}(\Psi^{-1}\Psi^{-1})^{ea}=0, \tag{26}$$

where we have used that Ψ_{ae} is symmetric from (20).

4.1 Proof of the Einstein equations

To make a direct connection from the instanton representation to Einstein's general relativity, we will show that the equations of motion for the latter imply the former. Let us use the relation

$$\sqrt{-g}=N\sqrt{h}=N\sqrt{\text{det}\widetilde{\sigma}}=(\text{det}B)^{1/2}\sqrt{\text{det}\Psi}, \tag{27}$$

which writes the determinant of the spacetime metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ in terms of its 3+1 decomposition and uses the determinant of (17). Defining $\epsilon^{0ijk}\equiv\epsilon^{ijk}$ and using the symmetries of the four dimensional epsilon tensor $\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$, then the following identities hold

$$B^k_{(e}F^{a)}_{0k}=\frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{klm}F^e_{lm}F^a_{0k}=\frac{1}{8}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}F^a_{\mu\nu}F^e_{\rho\sigma}. \tag{28}$$

Using (28) and (27), then equation (26) can be re-written as

$$\frac{1}{8}F^b_{\mu\nu}F^f_{\rho\sigma}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}+i\sqrt{-g}(\Psi^{-1}\Psi^{-1})^{bf}=0. \tag{29}$$

Left and right multiplying (29) by Ψ, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{4}(\Psi^{bb'}F^{b'}_{\mu\nu})(\Psi^{ff'}F^{f'}_{\rho\sigma})\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}=-2i\sqrt{-g}\delta^{bf}. \tag{30}$$

Note that this step and the steps that follow require that Ψ_{ae} be nondegenerate as a 3 by 3 matrix. Let us make the definition

$$\Sigma^a_{\mu\nu}=(\Psi^{-1})^{ae}F^e_{\mu\nu}=\Sigma^a_{\mu\nu}[\Psi,A], \tag{31}$$

which retains Ψ_{ae} and A^a_μ as fundamental, with the two form $\Sigma^a_{\mu\nu}$ being derived quantities. Upon using the third line of (2) as a re-definition of variables, which amounts to using the curvature and the CDJ matrix to construct a two form, (30) reduces to

$$\frac{1}{4}\Sigma^b_{\mu\nu}\Sigma^f_{\rho\sigma}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}dx^\mu\wedge dx^\nu\wedge dx^\rho\wedge dx^\sigma=\Sigma^b\wedge\Sigma^f=-2i\sqrt{-g}\delta^{bf}d^4x. \tag{32}$$

One recognizes (32) as the condition that the two forms thus constructed, which are now derived quantities, be derivable from tetrads, which is the analogue of (4). Indeed, one can conclude as a consequence of (32) that there exist one forms $\theta^I=\theta^I_\mu dx^\mu$ where $I=0,1,\cdots 4$, such that

$$\Psi_{ae}F^e=i\theta^0\wedge\theta^a-\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{afg}\theta^f\wedge\theta^g\equiv P^a_{fg}\theta^f\wedge\theta^g. \tag{33}$$

We have defined P^a_{fg} as a projection operator onto the self-dual combination of one-form wedge products, self-dual in the $SO(3,C)$ sense. To complete the demonstration that the instanton representation yields the Einstein equations, it remains to show that the connection A^a is compatible with the two forms Σ^a as constructed in (33).

Using the fact that Ψ_{ae} is symmetric on solutions to (20), the starting action (19) can be written as

$$I_{Inst}=\int_M d^4x\frac{1}{8}\Psi_{ae}F^a_{\mu\nu}F^e_{\rho\sigma}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}-i\sqrt{-g}\big(\Lambda+\mathrm{tr}\Psi^{-1}\big). \tag{34}$$

The equation of motion for the connection A^a_μ from (34) is given by

$$\frac{\delta I_{Inst}}{\delta A^a_\mu}=\epsilon^{\mu\sigma\nu\rho}D_\sigma(\Psi_{ae}F^e_{\nu\rho})-\frac{i}{2}\delta^\mu_i D^{ij}_{da}\Big(N(B^{-1})^d_i\sqrt{\mathrm{det}B}\sqrt{\mathrm{det}\Psi}\big(\Lambda+\mathrm{tr}\Psi^{-1}\big)\Big), \tag{35}$$

where we have used that Ψ_{ae} is symmetric and we have defined

$$\overline{D}^{ji}_{ea}(x,y)\equiv\frac{\delta}{\delta A^a_i(x)}B^j_e(y)=\epsilon^{jki}\big(-\delta_{ae}\partial_k+f_{eda}A^d_k\big)\delta^{(3)}(x,y);\quad \overline{D}^{0i}_{ea}\equiv 0. \tag{36}$$

The second term in (35) vanishes since it is proportional to the equation of motion (21) and its spatial gradients, which leaves us with

$$\epsilon^{\mu\sigma\nu\rho}D_\sigma(\Psi_{ae}F^e_{\nu\rho}) = 0. \tag{37}$$

Equation (37) states that when (20) and (22) are satisfied, then the two forms $\Sigma^a_{\mu\nu}$ constructed from Ψ_{ae} and $F^e_{\mu\nu}$ as in (33) are compatible with the connection A^a_μ. This is the direct analogue of (2).

Using (19) as the starting point, which uses Ψ_{ae} and A^a_μ as the dynamical variables we have obtained the Einstein equations in the same sense as the starting Plebanski theory (1). The first equation of (2) has been reproduced via (37), which holds provided that (22) and (20) are satisfied. The second equation of (2) has been reproduced via (32), which follows from (29) when (20) is satisfied. The third equation of (2) is the defining relation for the instanton representation. Since the Einstein equations have arisen from the instanton representation, then it follows that I_{Inst} is another representation for general relativity for Ψ_{ae} and B^i_e nondegenerate.

On the solution to (20) and (22) and using (33), the action for the instanton representation can be written in the language of two forms as

$$I_{Inst} = \frac{1}{2}\int_M \Psi_{bf}F^b \wedge F^f = \frac{1}{2}\int_M P^a_{fg}\theta^f \wedge \theta^g \wedge F^e, \tag{38}$$

which upon the identification of one forms θ^I with tetrads, is nothing other than the self-dual Palatini action. Note that the Palatini action yields the Einstein equations with respect to the metric defined by

$$ds^2 = g_{\mu\nu}dx^\mu dx^\nu = \eta_{IJ}\theta^I \otimes \theta^J, \tag{39}$$

where η_{IJ} is the Minkowski metric, which provides additional confirmation that the instanton representation I_{Inst} describes Einstein's general relativity when Ψ_{ae} is nondegenerate.

4.2 Discussion: Writing down a solution

We have shown how the Einstein equations follow from the instanton representation, which uses Ψ_{ae} and A^a_μ as the dynamical variables. Equation (30) implies the existence of a tetrad, which imposes the equivalence to general relativity, but it does not explain how to construct the tetrad. Since the spacetime metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ is the fundamental variable in Einstein's theory, we will bypass the tetrad and construct $g_{\mu\nu}$ as follows. Perform a 3+1 decomposition of spacetime $M = \Sigma \times R$, where Σ is a 3-dimensional spatial hypersurface. The line element is given by

$$ds^2 = g_{\mu\nu}dx^\mu dx^\nu = -N^2dt^2 + h_{ij}\omega^i \otimes \omega^j, \tag{40}$$

where h_{ij} is the induced 3-metric on Σ, and we have defined the one form

$$\omega^i = dx^i - N^i dt. \tag{41}$$

The shift vector is given by (25), rewritten here for completeness

$$N^i = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{ijk} F^g_{0j} (B^{-1})^g_k, \tag{42}$$

and the lapse function N can apparently be chosen freely. To complete the construction of $g_{\mu\nu}$ using (21) as the starting point we must write the 3-metric h_{ij} using Ψ_{ae} and A^a_μ. The desired expression is given by

$$h_{ij} = (\mathrm{det}\Psi)(\Psi^{-1}\Psi^{-1})^{ae}(B^{-1})^a_i (B^{-1})^e_j (\mathrm{det}B) = h_{ij}[\Psi, A], \tag{43}$$

where the following conditions must be satisfied

$$D_i(\Psi_{ae} B^i_e) = 0; \quad \epsilon_{dae}\Psi_{ae} = 0; \quad \Lambda + \mathrm{tr}\Psi^{-1} = 0, \tag{44}$$

From (44) one can write, on account of the symmetry of Ψ_{ae}, the following polar decomposition

$$\Psi_{(ae)} = (e^{\theta \cdot T})_{af} \lambda_f (e^{-\theta \cdot T})_{fe}. \tag{45}$$

In (45) $\vec{\theta} = (\theta^1, \theta^2, \theta^3)$ are a triple of complex rotation parameters and $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$ are the eigenvalues. Equation (45) solves the diffeomorphism constraint by construction. Then using the cyclic property of the trace, the Hamiltonian constraint can be written as

$$\Lambda + \frac{1}{\lambda_1} + \frac{1}{\lambda_2} + \frac{1}{\lambda_3} = 0, \tag{46}$$

whence only two eigenvalues λ_1 and λ_2 constitute the independent physical degrees of freedom. Subject to (46), one then has the Gauss' law constraint

$$B^i_e D_i \big(\lambda_f (e^{-\theta \cdot T})_{fa} (e^{-\theta \cdot T})_{fa} \big) = 0. \tag{47}$$

To construct a solution to the Einstein equations one must first solve the initial value constraints. By this we mean that one must choose a connection A^a_i as well as a triple of eigenvalues λ_f satisfying (46). The resulting combination substituted into (47) yields three differential equations for the three unknown angles $\vec{\theta}$. When a solution to (47) exists, then one uses $\vec{\theta}$ to reconstruct the CDJ matrix via (45), and then constructs the 3-metric h_{ij} and the spacetime metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ via (40). The claim is then that when the initial value constraints (44) hold, then the metric

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} -N^2 + \frac{1}{4}\epsilon^{imn}\epsilon^{im'n'} F^g_{0m} F^f_{0m'} (B^{-1})^g_n (B^{-1})^f_{n'} & -\frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{imn} F^g_{0m} (B^{-1})^g_n \\ -\frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{jm'n'} F^g_{0m'} (B^{-1})^g_{n'} & (\mathrm{det}\Psi)(\Psi^{-1}\Psi^{-1})^{ae}(B^{-1})^a_i (B^{-1})^e_j (\mathrm{det}B) \end{pmatrix},$$

should be a general solution to the Einstein equations for spacetimes of Petrov Types I, D and O. There are a few things to note regarding this. First, the shift vector N^i depends only on A^a_μ, which contains gauge degrees of freedom in the temporal component A^a_0. So there is a direct conversion of unphysical degrees of freedom from I_{Inst} into the metric representation. Secondly, the lapse function is freely specifiable. One may choose beteen Lorentzian and Euclidean signature spacetimes by the Wick rotation $N \leftrightarrow iN$. Third, each A^a_i and Ψ_{ae} satisfying the initial value constraints (44) determines a 3-metric h_{ij}. Therefore the physical degrees of freedom of I_{Inst} must reside within h_{ij}.

Note, when one uses the CDJ Ansatz $\widetilde{\sigma}^i_a = \Psi_{ae}B^i_e$ that (43) implies $hh^{ij} = \widetilde{\sigma}^i_a\widetilde{\sigma}^j_a$, which is the relation of the Ashtekar densitized triad to the contravariant 3-metric h^{ij}. Upon implementation of (44) on the phase space Ω_{Inst}, then one is left with the two degrees of freedom per point of GR, and h_{ij} is expressed explicitly in terms of these degrees of freedom.

Given that Ψ_{ae} and A^a_i are in general complex, the question then arises as to how one obtains a spacetime metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ which is real-valued. One obvious special case is when one takes all quantities on Ω_{Inst} to be real. In the most general case one must require that the following conditions: (i) The shift vector N^i must be real, (ii) the lapse function N must be either real (for Lorentzian signature) or pure imaginary (for Euclidean signature), (iii) and finally, the densitized triad $\widetilde{\sigma}^i_a = \Psi_{ae}B^i_e$ must be real.

5 Analysis of the equations of motion

We will now analyse the physical content of the equations of motion of I_{Inst}. We will rewrite (23) here for completeness, and us split (37) into its temporal and spatial components. Equation (23) is given by

$$B^i_f F^b_{0i} + i\sqrt{-g}(\Psi^{-1}\Psi^{-1})^{fb} + \epsilon_{ijk}B^i_f B^j_b N^k = 0, \tag{48}$$

and (37) can be written as

$$D_i(\Psi_{bf}B^i_f) = 0; \quad D_0(\Psi_{bf}B^i_f) = \epsilon^{ijk}D_j(\Psi_{bf}F^f_{0k}). \tag{49}$$

Let us act on the first equation of (49) with D_0, which yields

$$D_0 D_i(\Psi_{bf}B^i_f) = D_i D_0(\Psi_{bf}B^i_f) + [D_0, D_i](\Psi_{bf}B^i_f) = 0. \tag{50}$$

Substituting the second equation of (49) into the first term on the right hand side of (50) and using the definition of temporal curvature as the commutator of covariant derivatives on the second term we have

$$D_i(\epsilon^{ijk}D_j(\Psi_{bf}F^f_{0k})) + f_{bcd}F^c_{0i}\Psi_{df}B^i_f = f_{bcd}\big(B^k_c F^f_{0k} + B^k_f F^c_{0k}\big)\Psi_{df} = 0 \tag{51}$$

where we have also used the spatial part of the commutator $\epsilon^{ijk}D_iD_jv_a = f_{abc}B^k_b v_c$. Note that the term in brackets in (51) is symmetric in f and c. Therefore, upon substitution of (48) into (51), the last term of (48) will drop out due to antisymmetry. This leaves us with

$$-i\sqrt{-g}f_{bcd}\Big(\Psi_{df}(\Psi^{-1}\Psi^{-1})^{cf}+\Psi_{df}(\Psi^{-1}\Psi^{-1})^{cf}\Big)\longrightarrow -2i\sqrt{-g}f_{bcd}\Psi^{-1}_{dc}=0. \quad (52)$$

The arrow in (52) signifies that the resulting condition is valid as long as Ψ_{ae} is symmetric, which is consistent with (20).

We will now analyse the physical content of (48). We will multiply (48) by $(B^{-1})^f_k$, in conjunction with using the identity $(B^{-1})^d_j B^j_b = \delta^d_b$ since B^i_f is nondegenerate. Then equation (48) can be written as

$$F^b_{0k}+iN(\text{det}B)^{-1/2}(\text{det}\Psi)^{-1/2}[(\text{det}B)(\text{det}\Psi)]\big((\Psi^{-1}\Psi^{-1})^{df}(B^{-1})^d_j(B^{-1})^f_k\big)B^j_b$$
$$+\epsilon_{kjm}B^j_bN^m=0. \quad (53)$$

We can now use (43) in the second term of (53), which defines the spatial 3-metric in terms of the variables Ψ_{ae} and A^a_μ, in conjunction with the relation $N(\text{det}B)^{-1/2}(\text{det}\Psi)^{-1/2}=Nh^{-1/2}=\underline{N}$, which writes $\sqrt{-g}$ in terms of these variables. Then equation (53) becomes

$$F^b_{0i}+i\underline{N}h_{ij}B^j_b+\epsilon_{ijk}B^j_bN^k=0, \quad (54)$$

which as we will see is simply the statement that $F^a_{\mu\nu}$ is Hodge self-dual with respect to some metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ whose spatial part is h_{ij} and whose shift vector is N^i. Since we have utilized both equations of motion (48) and (49) to arrive at (54), then this implies in the instanton representation that Hodge self-duality of $F^a_{\mu\nu}$ is a necessary and sufficient condition for having a solution of the Einstein equations.

5.1 Dynamical Hodge self-duality operator

We have shown dynamically on the solution to the equations of motion that (54) follows from (19). The claim is that (54) is simply the statement that the curvature $F^a_{\mu\nu}$ is Hodge self-dual with respect to the same metric $g_{\mu\nu}=g_{\mu\nu}[\Psi,A]$ solving the Einstein equations. This will entail a derivation of the the Hodge self-duality condition for Yang–Mills theory in terms of the 3+1 decomposition of the corresponding metric. The following relations will be useful

$$g^{00}=-\frac{1}{N^2};\quad g^{0i}=-\frac{N^i}{N^2};\quad g^{ij}=h^{ij}-\frac{N^iN^j}{N^2}. \quad (55)$$

The Hodge self-duality condition for $F^a_{\mu\nu}$ can be written in the form

$$\sqrt{-g}g^{\mu\rho}g^{\nu\sigma}F^a_{\rho\sigma}=\frac{\beta}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}F^a_{\rho\sigma}, \tag{56}$$

where β is a numerical constant which we will determine.[4] Expanding (56) and using $F^a_{00}=0$, we have

$$N\sqrt{h}\big((g^{\mu0}g^{\nu j}-g^{\nu0}g^{\mu j})F^a_{0j}+g^{\mu i}g^{\nu j}\epsilon_{ijk}B^k_a\big)=\frac{\beta}{2}\big(2\epsilon^{\mu\nu0i}F^a_{0i}+\epsilon^{\mu\nu ij}\epsilon_{ijm}B^m_a\big). \tag{57}$$

We will now examine the components of (57). The $\mu=0,\nu=0$ component yields $0=0$, which is trivially satisfied. Moving on to the $\mu=0,\nu=k$ component, we have

$$N\sqrt{h}\big((g^{00}g^{kj}-g^{k0}g^{0j})F^a_{0j}+g^{0i}g^{kj}\epsilon_{ijm}B^m_a\big)=\beta B^k_a. \tag{58}$$

Making use of (55) as well as the antisymmetry of the epsilon symbol, after some algebra we obtain

$$F^a_{0j}+\epsilon_{jmk}B^m_aN^k+\beta\underline{N}h_{jk}B^k_a=0, \tag{59}$$

where we have defined $\underline{N}=N/\sqrt{h}$. Note that (59) is the same as (54), which establishes Hodge self-duality with respect to the spatio-temporal components. Our remaining task is to establish consistency with Hodge duality with respect to the purely spatial components. For the $\mu=m,\nu=n$ component we have

$$N\sqrt{h}\big((g^{m0}g^{nj}-g^{n0}g^{mj})F^a_{0j}+g^{mi}g^{nj}\epsilon_{ijk}B^k_a\big)=\beta\epsilon^{mn0i}F^a_{0i}. \tag{60}$$

Substitution of (55) into (60) after some algebra yields

$$\frac{\sqrt{h}}{N}\big(N^nh^{mj}-N^mh^{nj}\big)\big(F^a_{0j}+\epsilon_{jkl}B^k_aN^l\big)=\epsilon^{mnl}\big(\beta F^a_{0l}-\underline{N}h_{lk}B^k_a\big). \tag{61}$$

Using $h^{ij}h_{jk}=\delta^i_k$ and simplifying, then (61) reduces to

$$F^a_{0k}+\epsilon_{kmn}B^m_aN^n=\frac{1}{\beta}\underline{N}h_{kl}B^l_a. \tag{62}$$

Consistency of (62) with (59) implies that $\frac{1}{\beta}=-\beta$, or $\beta=\pm i$.

Comparison of (59) and (62) with (54) shows that the Hodge self-duality condition arises dynamically as an equation of motion from the action for the instanton representation. The curvature $F^a_{\mu\nu}$ is Hodge self-dual with respect to this operator, which can be written as

$$H^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}_{\pm}=\frac{1}{2}\big(\sqrt{-g}\big(g^{\mu\rho}g^{\nu\sigma}-g^{\nu\rho}g^{\mu\sigma}\big)\pm i\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\big), \tag{63}$$

where $g_{\mu\nu}=g_{\mu\nu}[\Psi,A]$.

---

[4] We will see that the Euclidean versus Lorentzian signature cases are determined not by β, but by the Wick rotation $N\leftrightarrow iN$ of the lapse function.

6 The spacetime metric: revisited

We have shown that the instanton representation I_{Inst}, on the solution to its equations of motion, implies a Hodge self-duality condition with respect to a metric solving the Einstein equations which also follow from I_{Inst}. This implies that given one result the other follows and vice versa, and indeed automatically follows a prescription for explicitly writing down the metric enforcing these results in terms of the dynamical variables of I_{Inst}. We will now provide an explicit formula for constructing the metric from A^a_μ. The Hodge self-duality condition (62) is given by

$$\epsilon_{ijk}B^j_a N^k + \underline{N}h_{ij}B^j_a = -F^a_{0i}. \tag{64}$$

We have absorbed the factor of $\beta = \pm i$ into the definition of the lapse function N. This means that for N real in (63) we are considering solution for Euclidean signature spacetimes. For the Lorentzian signature case one simply performs a Wick rotation $N \leftrightarrow iN$. Multiplying (64) by $(B^{-1})^a_m$ and relabelling indices $m \rightarrow j$ we obtain the relation

$$\epsilon_{ijk}N^k + \underline{N}h_{ij} = -F^a_{0i}(B^{-1})^a_j. \tag{65}$$

Equation (65) provides a prescription for writing the spacetime metric explicitly in terms of the connection as follows.[5] The left hand side of (65) splits into symmetric and antisymmetric parts. The antisymmetric part yields

$$N^k = -\frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{kij}F^a_{0i}(B^{-1})^a_j, \tag{66}$$

which provides the shift vector, and the symmetric part yields

$$\underline{N}h_{ij} = F^a_{0(i}(B^{-1})^a_{j)} \equiv c_{ij}. \tag{67}$$

The determinant of (67) yields

$$\frac{N^3}{\sqrt{h}} = \text{det}c_{ij} \equiv c \longrightarrow h_{ij} = \frac{1}{\underline{N}}c_{ij} = N^2 c_{ij}, \tag{68}$$

with c not to be confused with the speed of light[6] This enables the line element to be given by

$$ds^2 = N^2\big(-dt^2 + \frac{1}{c}c_{ij}\omega^i \otimes \omega^j\big) \tag{69}$$

---

[5] In other words, the information contained in the CDJ matrix Ψ_{ae} has been absorbed into the definition of the metric h_{ij}. Since the equations of motion for I_{Inst} have already been satisfied, then Ψ_{ae} already solves the initial value constraints (20), (46) and (47).

[6] However, see the footnote in the conclusion section for an interesting analogy.

where we have defined the one forms $\omega^i = dx^i - N^i dt$, with N^i given by (66). In matrix form this is given explicitly in terms of the connection by

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} -N^2 + \frac{1}{4}\epsilon^{imn}\epsilon^{im'n'}F^g_{0m}F^f_{0m'}(B^{-1})^g_n(B^{-1})^f_{n'} & -\frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{imn}F^g_{0m}(B^{-1})^g_n \\ -\frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{jm'n'}F^g_{0m'}(B^{-1})^g_{n'} & \eta F^a_{0(i}(B^{-1})^a_{j)} \end{pmatrix}.$$

where we have defined $\eta = (\mathrm{det}F^a_{0(i}(B^{-1})^a_{j)})^{-1}$. The implication is that every connection A^a_μ whose magnetic field B^i_a is nondegenerate, combined with a lapse function N determines a spacetime metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ solving the Einstein equations.

6.1 Reality conditions

The general solutions we have constructed can be either real or complex. To restrict consideration to just the real solutions one possibility is to restrict oneself to a connection having a real curvature $F^a_{\mu\nu}$, which guarantees a real solution. When $F^a_{\mu\nu}$ is complex then we must impose reality conditions requiring the matrix $F^a_{0i}(B^{-1})^a_j$ to be either real or pure imaginary. The symmetric part of this enforces reality of the 3-metric h_{ij} and the antisymmetric part enforces reality of the shift vector N^i. The lapse function N is chosen to be either real or pure imaginary so that h_{ij} is real, and each case corresponds either to Lorentizan or Euclidean signature, which leaves two cases to be considered.

We will delineate the reality conditions for the event where the curvature $F^a_{\mu\nu}$ is complex. Split the connection A^a_μ into the real and imaginary parts of its spatial and temporal parts

$$A^a_i = (\Gamma - iK)^a_i; \quad A^a_0 = (\eta - i\zeta)^a. \tag{70}$$

Corresponding to this 3+1 split there is a 3+1 split of $F^a_{\mu\nu}$ into spatial and temporal parts. The spatial part is the magnetic field B^i_a given by

$$B^i_a = (R - iT)^i_a, \tag{71}$$

where we have defined

$$\begin{aligned} R^i_a &= \epsilon^{ijk}\partial_j\Gamma^a_k + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{ijk}f_{abc}\Gamma^b_j\Gamma^c_k - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{ijk}f^{abc}K^b_jK^c_k; \\ T^i_a &= \epsilon^{ijk}D_jK^a_k = \epsilon^{ijk}\big(\partial_jK^a_k + f^{abc}\Gamma^b_jK^c_k\big). \end{aligned} \tag{72}$$

If we could associate Γ^a_i with the spin connection compatible with some triad e^a_i and K^a_i with extrinsic curvature of a 3D spatial hypersurface, then R^a_i would consist of the 3-dimensional Reimann curvature plus extrinsic curvature terms squared. In other words, R^a_i would play the role of a 4-D

Riemann curvature tensor written in $SO(3,C)$ language. The quantity T^i_a is the covariant derivative of K^a_i using Γ^a_i as a connection.

The temporal part of the curvature $F^a_{\mu\nu}$ is given by

$$F^a_{0i} = (f - ig)^a_i, \tag{73}$$

where we have defined

$$f^a_i = \dot{\Gamma}^a_i - D_i\eta^a + f^{abc}K^b_i\zeta^c;$$
$$g^a_i = D_0K^a_i - D_i\zeta^a. \tag{74}$$

The operator D_i is the covariant derivative with respect to Γ^a_i as in the second line of (72), and D_0 is given by

$$D_0K^a_i = \dot{K}^a_i + f^{abc}\eta^b K^a_c. \tag{75}$$

For the general case of implementation of reality conditions we must require that the matrix $\underline{N}h_{ij} = (B^{-1})^a_i F^a_{0j}$ be either real or pure imaginary. We will now delinate the conditions that this imposes. It will be convenient to use the following matrix identity

$$B^{-1} = (R - iT)^{-1} = (1 + iRT)\big(1 + (R^{-1}T)^2\big)^{-1}R^{-1}, \tag{76}$$

which splits the inverse of a complex matrix into its real and imaginary parts. Then the quantity $\underline{N}h_{ij}$ is given by

$$(f - ig)(R - iT)^{-1} = \big(f + gR^{-1}T + i(-g + fR^{-1}T)\big)\big(1 + (R^{-1}T)^2\big)R^{-1}. \tag{77}$$

The last two matrices in (77) are real and the first matrix is in general complex. For Lorentzian signature spacetimes we must require the real part of the first matrix to be zero, and for Euclidean signature we must require the imaginary part to be zero. So the reality conditions become the matrix equations

$$\textit{Euclidean signature}:\ g^{-1}f = -R^{-1}T;$$
$$\textit{Lorentzian signature}:\ (g^{-1}f)^{-1} = R^{-1}T. \tag{78}$$

For either case (78) is a set of nine equations. The solution requires nine unknowns, which can be chosen from the set Γ^a_i, K^a_i, η^a and ζ^a. One is free to choose any combination of nine components from this list for which the equations have a solution.

7 Some examples of solutions

We will now use our formula to construct some known GR solutions, in conjunction with some examples from [10].

7.1 The conformally Euclidean case

First consider a connection given by

$$A^a_\mu = \frac{2\eta^a_{\mu\nu}x^\nu}{x^2+\rho^2} \tag{79}$$

where ρ is a numerical constant and $\eta^a_{\mu\nu}$ are the so-called t'Hooft symbols, given by

$$\eta^a_{00} = 0; \quad \eta^a_{ij} = \epsilon_{ail}; \quad \eta^a_{0i} = -\delta_{ai}; \quad \eta^a_{i0} = \delta_{ai}. \tag{80}$$

The curvature of A^a_μ is of the form $F^a_{\mu\nu} = \eta^a_{\mu\nu}q$ where $q = q(r)$ is some function of radial distance from an origin given by

$$q = -\frac{4\rho^2\eta^a_{\mu\nu}}{(x^2+\rho^2)^2}. \tag{81}$$

To find the metric for A^a_μ we must split this curvature into spatial and temporal components

$$F^a_{ij} = \eta^a_{ij}q = \epsilon_{aij}q; \quad F^a_{0k} = \eta^a_{0k}q = -\delta^a_k q. \tag{82}$$

From (82) we can read off the magnetic field $B^i_a = \delta^i_a q$ and write down

$$(B^{-1})^a_m F^a_{0k} = -\delta_{mk}. \tag{83}$$

Since (83) is symmetric in m and k then the shift vector is zero, which fixes the spacetime metric as

$$ds^2 = -N^2\big(dt^2 + \delta_{ij}dx^i dx^j\big) \tag{84}$$

The result is a a metric conformally related to the Euclidean metric. Note that (83) also implies that $B^m_a = F^a_{0k}$, which is the self-duality condition with respect to Euclidean space with F^a_{0k} playing the role of the electric field.

7.2 Minisuperspace

To acquire some intuition on the relation of the gauge connection components to their metric counterparts let us consider a spatially homogeneous connection $A^a_i = A^a_i(t)$ whose components depend only on time. The magnetic field for this connection is given by $B^i_a = (\text{det}A)(A^{-1})^i_a$. To this point the temporal components A^a_0 of the original 4-dimensional connection A^a_μ have been unspecified, and we will regard these as gauge degrees of freedom. The following relation ensues

$$(B^{-1})^a_i F^a_{0j} = (\text{det}A)^{-1}(\dot{A}^a_i - f^{abc}A^b_j A^c_0)$$
$$= \frac{1}{2}(\text{det}A)^{-1}(A^a_i\dot{A}^a_j + A^a_j\dot{A}^a_i) + \frac{1}{2}(\text{det}A)^{-1}(A^a_i\dot{A}^a_j - A^a_j\dot{A}^a_i) + \epsilon_{ijk}(A^{-1})^k_a A^c_0, \tag{85}$$

which separates the symmetric from the antisymmetric parts. The symmetric part is a total time derivative given by

$$c_{ij} = \underline{N} h_{ij} = (\mathrm{det} A)^{-1}\frac{d}{dt}(A^a_{(i}A^a_{j)}), \tag{86}$$

and the antisymmetric part defines the shift vector, given by

$$N^k = (A^{-1})^k_c A^c_0 + \epsilon^{lmn}A^a_m\dot{A}^a_n. \tag{87}$$

The first term on the right hand side of (87) correlates the purely gauge degrees of freedom A^a_0 to the gauge degrees of freedom in metric GR. The second term of (87) has the interpretation of a kind of orbital angular momentum in the space of connections. For example, in the isotropic case $A^a_i = \delta^a_i a$, where $a = a(t)$ is a function only of time, this term vanishes and the first term reduces to $n^k = \frac{1}{a}A^k_0$. The line element is then given by

$$ds^2 = N^2\big(-dt^2 + \Big(\frac{a^2}{\dot{a}}\Big)^2\sum_i (dx^i - n^i dt)^2\Big), \tag{88}$$

for $n^i = 0$ is conformally related to the metric for a FRW universe for certain choices of a.

On a final note, it is interesting to compar (88) with the corresponding relation in terms of the CDJ matrix Ψ_{ae}. In minisuperspace a symmetric Ψ_{ae} solves both the diffeomorphism and Hamiltonian constraints. Therefore h_{ij} is given by the simples expression

$$h_{ij} = (\mathrm{det}\Psi)(\Psi^{-1}\Psi^{01})^{ae}A^a_i A^e_j, \tag{89}$$

subject to the condition $\mathrm{tr}\Psi^{-1} = \Lambda$. An analogous relation to (69) can be written down for the full theory.

7.3 Schwarzchild solution

Let us start from the following connection one-forms

$$A^1 = i\frac{f'}{g}dt + (\mathrm{cos}\theta)d\phi;\quad A^2 = -\Big(\frac{\mathrm{sin}\theta}{g}\Big)d\phi;\quad A^3 = \frac{d\theta}{g}, \tag{90}$$

where $f = f(r)$ and $g = g(r)$ are at this stage arbitrary functions of radial distance r. Equation (90) yields the curvature 2-forms $F^a = dA^a + \frac{1}{2}f^{abc}A^b\wedge A^c$, given by

$$\begin{aligned} F^1 &= -\Big(\frac{if'}{g}\Big)' - \mathrm{sin}\theta\Big(1 - \frac{1}{g^2}\Big)d\theta\wedge d\phi;\\ F^2 &= -\frac{g'}{g^2}\mathrm{sin}\theta d\phi\wedge dr - \frac{if'}{g^2}dt\wedge d\theta;\\ F^3 &= -\frac{g'}{g^2}dr\wedge d\theta - \frac{if'}{g^2}\mathrm{sin}\theta dt\wedge d\phi. \end{aligned} \tag{91}$$

From this we can read off the nonvanishing components, given by

$$B^1_1 = \sin\theta\Bigl(1 - \frac{1}{g^2}\Bigr);\quad B^2_2 = -\frac{g'}{g^2}\sin\theta;\quad B^3_3 = -\frac{g'}{g^2};$$
$$F^1_{01} = -\Bigl(\frac{if'}{g}\Bigr)';\quad F^2_{02} = -\Bigl(\frac{if'}{g^2}\Bigr);\quad F^3_{03} = -\Bigl(\frac{if'}{g^2}\Bigr)\sin\theta. \tag{92}$$

Since (92) form diagonal matrices, then the antisymmetric part is zero which makes the shift vector N^i equal to zero. Then we have the relation

$$c_{ij} = F^a_{0i}(B^{-1})^a_j = i\underline{N}h_{ij} = i\begin{pmatrix} \frac{(f'/g)'}{\sin\theta(1-\frac{1}{g^2})} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (f'/g')\frac{1}{\sin\theta} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & (f'/g')\sin\theta \end{pmatrix}.$$

The determinant is given by

$$\det((B^{-1})^a_0 F^a_{0j}) = \Bigl(\frac{1}{\sin\theta}\Bigr)\frac{(f'/g)'(f'/g')^2}{\Bigl(1 - \frac{1}{g^2}\Bigr)} = \frac{N^3}{\sqrt{h}}. \tag{93}$$

This enables us to solve for the inverse of the densitized lapse

$$\frac{\sqrt{h}}{N} = \frac{N^2\sin\theta\Bigl(1 - \frac{1}{g^2}\Bigr)}{(f'/g)'(f'/g')^2}. \tag{94}$$

So the spatial metric is given by

$$h_{ij} = N^2\begin{pmatrix} (g'/f')^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{(1-\frac{1}{g^2})}{(f'/g)'(f'/g')} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{(1-\frac{1}{g^2})}{(f'/g)'(f'/g')} \end{pmatrix}.$$

This constitutes a solution apparently labelled by two free functions f and g and a lapse function N, since the curvature of the chosen connection is Hodge self-dual with respect to this metric by explicit construction. To make contact with some known solutions, let us choose $N = f$ and $g_{\theta\theta} = r^2$. This leads to the condition

$$\frac{\Bigl(1 - \frac{1}{g^2}\Bigr)}{(f'/g)'(f'/g')} = r^2, \tag{95}$$

which still yields one degree of freedom in the choice of the function g. For one particular example let us further choose $g = \frac{1}{f}$. Then $g' = -\frac{f'}{f^2}$, which yields the relation

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d^2 f^2}{dr^2} = \frac{1}{r^2}(f^2 - 1). \tag{96}$$

Defining $u = \mathrm{ln}r$, then this leads to the equation

$$\Big(\frac{d^2}{du^2} - \frac{d}{du} - 2\Big)f^2 = -2 \tag{97}$$

with solution $f^2 = 1 + k_1 e^{-u} + k_2 e^{2u}$ for arbitrary constants k_1 and K_2. This yields the solution

$$f^2 = 1 + \frac{k_1}{r} + k_2 r^2. \tag{98}$$

Upon making the identification $k_1 \equiv -2GM$ and $k_2 \equiv -\frac{\Lambda}{3}$ one recognizes (98) as the solution for a Schwarzchild–DeSitter black hole. This solution is highly specialised. But it is clear that there are an infinite number of solutions labelled two free functions of r and the lapse N, corresponding to the connection (90).

7.4 Gravitational waves

To acquire some more intuition of the gravitational field in terms of the connection, let us examine gravitational waves. Consider a connection given by $A^a_i = \delta^a_i \alpha + a^a_i$, where $|a^a_i| << \alpha$ and α is a spatially homogeneous function of time. We will compute the metric to linearized order, taking the trace of the perturbation a^i_i to be zero for simplicitly. The magnetic field and the temporal curvature components to linearized order in a^a_i are given by

$$B^i_a = \delta^i_a m + \epsilon^{ijk}\partial_j a^a_k + \alpha a^i_a; \quad F^a_{0j} = \delta^a_j \dot{\alpha} + \dot{a}^a_j - \partial_j a^a_0 - f^{ajc} a^c_0 \alpha. \tag{99}$$

where $m = \alpha^2$. The inverse magnetic field to linearized order is

$$(B^{-1})^a_i = \frac{1}{m}\Big(\delta^i_a - \frac{1}{m}\big(\epsilon^{imn}\partial_m a^a_n\big)\Big), \tag{100}$$

which upon defining $\alpha^i_0 = n^i$ yields for the symmetric part that

$$\underline{N} h_{ij} = (B^{-1})^a_{(i} F^a_{0j)} = \Big(\frac{\dot{\alpha}}{\alpha^2}\Big)\Big[\delta_{ij} + \Big(\frac{1}{\dot{\alpha}}\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \frac{1}{\alpha}\Big)a_{ij} - \frac{1}{\dot{\alpha}}\partial_{(i}n_{j)} - \Big(\frac{\dot{\alpha}}{\alpha^4}\Big)\epsilon^{(imn}\partial_m a^{j)}_n\Big]. \tag{101}$$

The antisymmetric part yields

$$N^k = 2(n_k/\alpha) - \frac{1}{2\dot{\alpha}}\epsilon^{kij}\partial_i n_j - \Big(\frac{\dot{\alpha}}{\alpha^4}\Big)\epsilon^{kij}\epsilon^{imn}\partial_m a^j_n. \tag{102}$$

The metric goes rougly as the time derivative of the connetion perturbation. The present author has not yet been able to find a physical interpretation for the terms of the form $\epsilon^{imn}\partial_m a^j_n$, apart from the the possibility that they be relatively small for large α. If one assumes this to be the case, then choosing a_{ij} to by symmetric, transverse and traceless, will make the metric will inherit these same qualities. Note that h_{ij} contains a contribution $n_{(i,j)}$, which directly correlates to its change under infinitesimal coordinate transformation using A^a_0 as the parameter. This reinforces the correlation of A^a_0 and the shift vector, as well as the interpretation of gravitational waves for the connection fluctuation.

8 Conclusion

The main results of this paper are as follows. Using Plebanski's theory of gravity as the starting point we have obtained the instanton representation, a reformulation of gravity using a gauge sonnection A^a_μ and the CDJ matrix Ψ_{ae} as the dynamical variables. This formulation is different from the one presented in [5], where the only variable aside from the connection is a single scalar density. The rationale for our choice was to clarify and to capture the gravitational degrees of freedom in a compact form. The instanton representation implies the Einstein equations and provides and explicit solution for spacetimes of Petrov Types I, D and O where the magnetic field B^i_a and the CDJ matrix Ψ_{ae} are nondegenerate. An explicit formula has been provided for the spacetime metric $g_\mu[\Psi, A]$ in terms of these dynamical variables. A feature is that the physical gravitational degrees of freedom reside within the 3-metric h_{ij} while unphysical degrees of freedom A^a_0 reside within the shift vector N^i. The lapse function N apparently is freely specifiable, and enables one to select between Lorentzian and Euclidean signature spacetimes by Wick rotation $N \leftrightarrow iN$. In addition to the Einstein equations, we have shown that the instanton representation imposes a Hodge self-duality condition on the curvature $F^a_{\mu\nu}$ with respect to the aforementioned metric $g_{\mu\nu}$. This enabled us to explicitly write the metric explicitly in terms of the curvature of the connection $F^a_{\mu\nu}$. The prescription is to split the object $(B^{-1})^a_i F^a_{0j}$ constructed from the spatial and temporal curvature components into its symmetric and antisymmetric parts $c_{ij} = \underline{N} h_{ij}$ and $\epsilon_{ijk} N^k$. This determines the spacetime metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ with N^2 as a conformal factor.[7] We have also delineated the reality conditions on the metric and we have constructed some examples of known solutions to acquire some intuition regarding the formalism. Yang–Mills instantons must satisfy the same self-duality condition on-shell, which implies that every Yang–Mills instanton determines a gravitational instanton whose metric can be explicitly constructed using a simple formula. The conclusion is that the instanton representation provides a mechanism for constructing gravitational instantons for the aforementioned Petrov types. As a direction of future research we will elucidate the relationship of gravittional instantons to the quantum theory of the instanton representation.

---

[7] The object $(B^{-1})^a_i F^a_{0j}$ appears to be the nearest Yang–Mills analogy to the speed of light c in vacuum Maxwells equations with solutions of the form $\vec{E} = c\vec{B}$ relating the electric and the magnetic fields. The Yang–Mills analogy to $\vec{E}$ is given by F^a_{0i}.

References

[1] Ahbay Ashtekar. 'New perspectives in canonical gravity', (Bibliopolis, Napoli, 1988).

[2] Ahbay Ashtekar 'New Hamiltonian formulation of general relativity' Phys. Rev. D36(1987)1587

[3] Ahbay Ashtekar 'New variables for classical and quantum gravity' Phys. Rev. Lett. Volume 57, number 18 (1986)

[4] Subenoy Chakraborty and Peter Peldan 'Gravity and Yang–Mills theory: Two faces of the same theory?' Int. J. Mod. Phys. D3 (1994) 695-722

[5] Richard Capovilla, Ted Jacobson, John Dell 'General Relativity without the Metric' Class. Quant. Grav. Vol 63, Number 21 (1989) 2325-2328

[6] R. Penrose and W. Rindler 'Spinors and space-time' Cambridge Monographs in Mathematical Physics

[7] Hans Stephani, Dietrich Kramer, Maclcolm MacCallum, Cornelius Hoenselaers, and Eduard Herlt 'Exact Solutions of Einstein's Field Equations' Cambridge University Press

[8] Jerzy Plebanski 'On the separation of Einsteinian substructures' J. Math. Phys. Vol. 18, No. 2 (1977)

[9] Riccardo Capovilla, John Dell, Ted Jacobson 'Self-dual 2-forms and gravity' Class. Quantum Grav. 8 (1991) 41-57

[10] Kirill Krasnov 'Plebanski Formulation of General Relativity: A practical introduction' arXiv:gr-qc/0904.0423

[11] Micheal Reisenberger 'New constraints for canonical general relativity' Nucl. Phys. B457 (1995) 643-687